

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR MSFT-0302/167451.1 8315 Michael A. Friedman 09/775,033 02/01/2001 **EXAMINER** 7590 11/05/2003 THOMAS E. WATSON NARAYANASWAMY, SINDYA WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MACKIEWICZ & NORRIS LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor 2174 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
* 055 - 4-45 - 0	09/775,033	FRIEDMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sindya Narayanaswamy	2174
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u>//ay 2001</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-66 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
<del>,</del>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/775,033

Art Unit: 2174

## Restrictions

- 1. Upon initial review of the claims of Application 09/775033 it appears that claims 28-41 differ in subject matter and therefore require a different search. In accordance with this a restriction is deemed proper.
- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 1-27 and 42-66, drawn to a method and computer system for controlling computing elements with a universal console, classified in Class 345, subclass 747.
- Group II. Claims 28-41, drawn to the data structure formatted specific to an interface description with specific representations, classified in Class 345, subclass 804.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions Groups I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, while invention I has separate utility such as providing a method and computer system for a universal console, invention II is directed to a data structure formatted according to specifications for an interface. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the searches for the individual Groups are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to applicants' representative, Mr. Michael J. Swope (Registration Number 38,041) on October 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above

Application/Control Number: 09/775,033

Art Unit: 2174

restriction requirement, but it did not result in an election being made.

6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Weistine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100